

LOAN DOCUMENT

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET

①

DTIC ACCESSION NUMBER

LEVEL

INVENTORY

Bioventing Field Initiative at Johnston...
DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION
14 May 93

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

ACCESSION FOR	
NTIS	GRAM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TRAC <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED <input type="checkbox"/>	
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/	
AVAILABILITY CODES	
DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY AND/OR SPECIAL
A-1	

DISTRIBUTION STAMP

DATE ACCESSIONED

DATE RETURNED

20001129 067

DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC

REGISTERED OR CERTIFIED NUMBER

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET AND RETURN TO DTIC-FDAC

H
A
N
D
L
E

W
I
T
H

C
A
R
E

REPORT

INTERIM REPORT

BIOVENTING FIELD

INITIATIVE AT

JOHNSTON ISLAND FIELD

COMMAND DEFENSE

NUCLEAR AGENCY,

JOHNSTON ATOLL

Department of the Air Force

AL-EQ

Tyndall AFB, Florida

MAY 14, 1993

AQ M01-02-0380

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER
REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL REPORTS

Title

AFCEE Collection

1. Report Availability (Please check one box)

- ☒ This report is available. Complete sections 2a - 2f.
☐ This report is not available. Complete section 3.

2a. Number of
Copies Forwarded

1 each

2b. Forwarding Date

July/2000

2c. Distribution Statement (Please check ONE box)

DoD Directive 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents," 18 Mar 87, contains seven distribution statements, as described briefly below. Technical documents MUST be assigned a distribution statement.

- ☒ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.
- ☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B: Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies only.
- ☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C: Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors.
- ☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D: Distribution authorized to U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and U.S. DoD contractors only.
- ☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E: Distribution authorized to U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) components only.
- ☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F: Further dissemination only as directed by the controlling DoD office indicated below or by higher authority.
- ☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X: Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies and private individuals or enterprises eligible to obtain export-controlled technical data in accordance with DoD Directive 5230.25, Withholding of Unclassified Technical Data from Public Disclosure, 6 Nov 84.

2d. Reason For the Above Distribution Statement (in accordance with DoD Directive 5230.24)

2e. Controlling Office

HQ AFCEE

2f. Date of Distribution Statement
Determination

15 Nov 2000

3. This report is NOT forwarded for the following reasons. (Please check appropriate box)

- ☐ It was previously forwarded to DTIC on _____ (date) and the AD number is _____
- ☐ It will be published at a later date. Enter approximate date if known. _____
- ☐ In accordance with the provisions of DoD Directive 3200.12, the requested document is not supplied because: _____

Print or Type Name

Laura Peña

Telephone

210-536-1431

Signature

Laura Peña

(For DTIC Use Only)

AQ Number

M01-02-0380

INTERIM REPORT

May 14, 1993

FOR

BIOVENTING FIELD INITIATIVE

AT

**JOHNSTON ISLAND FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY,
JOHNSTON ATOLL**

to

**Ms. Catherine M. Vogel
Department of the Air Force
AL/EQ
139 Barnes Drive
Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-5319**

by

**BATTELLE
Columbus Operations
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objectives	1
1.2 Site Description	2
1.2.1 Old Fire Training Area (J1)	2
1.2.2 Former Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants (POL) Tank Farm (J2)	2
1.2.3 Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site (J3)	6
1.2.4 Storage Tank 49 Site (J4)	6
2.0 OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA	6
2.1 Chronology of Events and Site Activities	6
2.1.1 Groundwater Measurements	6
2.1.2 Soil Gas Survey	6
2.1.3 Vent Well, Monitoring Point, and Thermocouple Installation	9
2.1.4 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses	12
2.1.5 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence	12
2.1.6 In Situ Respiration Test	13
2.2 Results and Discussion	14
2.2.1 Soil and Soil Gas Analyses	14
2.2.2 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence	14
2.2.3 In Situ Respiration Test	18
2.2.4 Bioventing Demonstration	18
3.0 FORMER POL TANK FARM	21
3.1 Chronology of Events and Site Activities	21
3.1.1 Groundwater Measurements	21
3.1.2 Soil Gas Survey	21
3.1.3 Vent Well, Monitoring Point, and Thermocouple Installation	21
3.1.4 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses	24
3.1.5 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence	24
3.1.6 In Situ Respiration Test	25
3.2 Results and Discussion	25
3.2.1 Soil and Soil Gas Analyses	25
3.2.2 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence	25
3.2.3 In Situ Respiration Test	25
3.2.4 Bioventing Demonstration	29
4.0 STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE	31
4.1 Chronology of Events and Site Activities	31
4.1.1 Groundwater Measurements	31
4.1.2 Soil Gas Survey	31
4.1.3 Vent Well, Monitoring Point, and Thermocouple Installation	31

4.1.4	Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses	34
4.1.5	Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence	34
4.1.6	In Situ Respiration Test	34
4.2	Results and Discussion	35
4.2.1	Soil Gas Analyses	35
4.2.2	Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence	35
4.2.3	In Situ Respiration Test	35
4.2.4	Bioventing Demonstration	41
5.0	STORAGE TANK 49 SITE	41
6.0	BACKGROUND AREA	43
7.0	FUTURE WORK	47
8.0	REFERENCE	47
APPENDIX A:	TEST PLAN FOR JOHNSTON ISLAND FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY, JOHNSTON ATOLL	A-1
APPENDIX B:	ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR THE OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA, THE FORMER POL TANK FARM, THE STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE, THE STORAGE TANK 49 SITE, AND THE BACKGROUND AREA	B-1
APPENDIX C:	OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA	C-1
APPENDIX D:	OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA	D-1
APPENDIX E:	FORMER POL TANK FARM SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA	E-1
APPENDIX F:	FORMER POL TANK FARM IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA	F-1
APPENDIX G:	STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA	G-1
APPENDIX H:	STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA	H-1
APPENDIX I:	STORAGE TANK 49 SITE SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA	I-1
APPENDIX J:	STORAGE TANK 49 SITE IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA	J-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Initial Soil Gas Composition at the Old Fire Training Area	10
Table 2.	Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Old Fire Training Area	15
Table 3.	Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Old Fire Training Area	16
Table 4.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Old Fire Training Area	20
Table 5.	Initial Soil Gas Composition at the Former POL Tank Farm	22
Table 6.	Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Former POL Tank Farm	26
Table 7.	Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Former POL Tank Farm	27
Table 8.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Former POL Tank Farm	29
Table 9.	Initial Soil Gas Composition at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site	32
Table 10.	Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site	36
Table 11.	Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site	37
Table 12.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site	40
Table 13.	Soil Gas Oxygen Concentrations (%) at the Tank 49 Site	42
Table 14.	Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Background Area	44
Table 15.	Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Background Area	45

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Schematic Diagram of Johnston Island Field Command Defense Nuclear Agency, Johnston Atoll	3
Figure 2.	Schematic Diagram of the Old Fire Training Area (GS - Soil Gas Survey Point; MP - Monitoring Point)	4
Figure 3.	Schematic Diagram of the Former POL Tank Farm (GS - Soil Gas Survey Point; MP - Monitoring Point)	5
Figure 4.	Schematic Diagram of the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site (GS - Soil Gas Survey Point; MP - Monitoring Point)	7
Figure 5.	Schematic Diagram of the Tank 49 Site (MP - Monitoring Point)	8
Figure 6.	Cross Section of Vent Wells and Monitoring Points at the Old Fire Training Area Showing Site Lithology and Construction Detail (not to scale)	11
Figure 7.	Radius of Influence at the Old Fire Training Area	17
Figure 8.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J1-MPA-2.5' at the Old Fire Training Area	19
Figure 9.	Cross Section of Vent Well and Monitoring Points at the Former POL Tank Farm Showing Site Lithology and Construction Detail (not to scale)	23
Figure 10.	Radius of Influence at the Former POL Tank Farm	28
Figure 11.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J2-MPA-2.5' at the Former POL Tank Farm	30
Figure 12.	Cross Section of Vent Well and Monitoring Points at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site Showing Site Lithology and Construction Detail (not to scale)	33
Figure 13.	Radius of Influence at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site	38
Figure 14.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J3-MPA-2.5' at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site	39
Figure 15.	Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Background Area	46

INTERIM REPORT

BIOVENTING FIELD INITIATIVE

JOHNSTON ISLAND FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY, JOHNSTON ATOLL

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report describes the activities conducted at Johnston Island Field Command Defense Nuclear Agency, Johnston Atoll, as part of the Bioventing Field Initiative for the U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE) and the Environmental Quality Directorate of the Air Force Armstrong Laboratory. This report summarizes the results from the first phase of the study at Johnston Island. First-phase activities include a soil gas survey, air permeability test, in situ respiration test, and installation of bioventing systems. The specific objectives of this Bioventing Field Initiative are described in the following section. Each site at the base is discussed individually, followed by a description of site activities at the background area.

1.1 Objectives

The purpose of this Bioventing Field Initiative is to measure the soil gas permeability and microbial activity at a contaminated site in order to evaluate the potential application of bioventing technology to remediate the site. The specific test objectives are stated below.

- A small-scale soil gas survey will be conducted to identify an appropriate location for installation of the bioventing system. Soil gas from the candidate site should exhibit high total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations, relatively low oxygen concentrations, and relatively high carbon dioxide concentrations. An uncontaminated background location also will be identified.
- The soil gas permeability of the soil and the air vent (well) radius of influence will be determined. To measure these parameters, air will be withdrawn or injected for approximately 8 hours at vent wells located in contaminated soils. Pressure changes will be monitored in an array of monitoring points.
- Immediately following the soil gas permeability test, an in situ respiration test will be conducted. Air will be injected into selected monitoring points to

aerate the soils. The in situ oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates will be measured.

- The data from the soil gas permeability and in situ respiration tests will be used to determine an air injection/withdrawal rate for the bioventing test. A blower will be selected, installed, and operated for 6 to 12 months, and periodic measurements of the soil gas composition will be made to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of bioventing.

1.2 Site Description


Johnston Atoll is an unincorporated territory of the United States located approximately 717 nautical miles west-southwest of Honolulu, Hawaii. Johnston Island is the largest of the four islands that make up the atoll with an area of approximately 625 acres (Figure 1). The island soils comprised mostly dredged and filled coral from the lagoon. Soil gas surveys were performed at all four sites discussed below by the Air Force environmental contractor, Raytheon Services Nevada, in June of 1992. Summaries of the available data for each site at Johnston Atoll are presented in the following sections. A detailed description is provided in the Test Plan in Appendix A.

1.2.1 Old Fire Training Area (J1)

The Old Fire Training Area is located adjacent to the Johnston Atoll waste storage area. A schematic diagram of the site is shown in Figure 2. The soil gas survey performed in this area in June of 1992 indicated relatively high soil gas hydrocarbon concentrations and correspondingly low oxygen concentrations.

1.2.2 Former Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants (POL) Tank Farm (J2)

In the 1940s and 1950s, a POL Tank Farm was located in the area west of the site of the base swimming pool. A schematic diagram of the site is shown in Figure 3. Past spills and leaks in this area have resulted in petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the area. The soil gas survey performed in this area in June of 1992 indicated soil gas hydrocarbon concentrations as high as 30,000 ppm and oxygen concentrations as low as 1%.



- J1 = Old Fire Training Area
- J2 = Former POL Tank Farm
- J3 = Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site
- J4 = Storage Tank 49 Site

Figure 1. Schematic Diagram of Johnston Island Field Command Defense Nuclear Agency, Johnston Atoll




Figure 2. Schematic Diagram of the Old Fire Training Area (GS - Soil Gas Survey Point; MP - Monitoring Point)




Figure 3. Schematic Diagram of the Former POL Tank Farm (GS - Soil Gas Survey Point; MP - Monitoring Point)

1.2.3 Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site (J3)

Storage Tanks 260 and 261 are located in the currently used POL Tank Farm at the base. A schematic diagram of the site is shown in Figure 4. Each tank has an approximate capacity of 557,000 gallons of JP-5 fuel. Past spills of JP-5 jet fuel are known to have occurred here. Soil gas hydrocarbon concentrations in excess of 5,000 ppm have been detected in the vicinity of Tank 261. Soil gas oxygen concentrations as low as 5% have been detected in the POL Tank Farm area.

1.2.4 Storage Tank 49 Site (J4)

Storage Tank 49 is a 557,000-gallon aboveground diesel fuel tank located just west of the Johnston Island power plant. A schematic diagram of the site is shown in Figure 5. Soil gas analytical data for the Tank 49 area indicated petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations as high as 340 ppm, oxygen concentrations as low as 2%, and carbon dioxide concentrations as high as 12%.

2.0 OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA

2.1 Chronology of Events and Site Activities

2.1.1 Groundwater Measurements

Groundwater monitoring wells were not present at the Old Fire Training Area. Groundwater was measured at the three vent wells described in Section 2.1.3. Groundwater was measured at 8.75 feet (J1-VW-1), 8.65 feet (J1-VW-2), and 8.7 feet (J1-VW-3). Groundwater level at the site may fluctuate as much as 2 feet due to tidal influence.

2.1.2 Soil Gas Survey

A suitable site for the bioventing demonstration should have soil gas characteristics of high TPH, low oxygen, and high carbon dioxide concentrations. This composition of soil gas would




Figure 4. Schematic Diagram of the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site (GS - Soil Gas Survey Point; MP - Monitoring Point)




Figure 5. Schematic Diagram of the Tank 49 Site (MP - Monitoring Point)

indicate that oxygen-limiting conditions for microbial activity are present and that the introduction of air may enhance biodegradation of TPH.

On February 20, 1993, a limited soil gas survey was conducted at the Old Fire Training Area. Soil gases were sampled by driving a 5/8-inch-diameter stainless steel probe into the soil with a hammer drill. Soil gas was withdrawn with a vacuum pump and analyzed for oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH.

Measurements of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the soil gas were made with a GasTech Model 32520X with oxygen and carbon dioxide ranges of 0 to 25%. The analyzer was calibrated daily against atmospheric oxygen, atmospheric carbon dioxide, a 10% oxygen calibration standard, and a 5% carbon dioxide calibration standard. TPH was measured with a GasTech Trace Techtor with TPH ranges from 0 to 100, 0 to 1,000, and 0 to 10,000 ppm. The GasTech Trace Techtor was calibrated daily against a 4,200-ppm hexane standard.

The soil gas probes were driven to depths of 2.5 feet at several locations in the area. Table 1 provides the initial concentrations of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH for the various locations. Oxygen concentrations varied from 2.5 to 12.6%, and TPH concentrations ranged from 116 to 1,420 ppm. The oxygen results indicate that some areas at this site are oxygen-limited and may respond to bioventing.

2.1.3 Vent Well, Monitoring Point, and Thermocouple Installation

On February 20, 1993, three vent wells and two monitoring points were installed at the Old Fire Training Area, and soil samples were collected for analyses. The vent wells (VW) and monitoring points (MP) were labeled as follows: J1-VW-1, J1-VW-2, J1-VW-3, J1-MPA, and J1-MPB. The locations of the vent well and monitoring points are shown in Figure 2. A cross section of the vent well and monitoring points showing site lithology and construction detail is shown in Figure 6.

The vent wells were installed at a depth of 8.0 feet into an 8-inch-diameter borehole. The vent well consisted of Schedule 40 2-inch-diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) piping with 4.5 feet of ten-slot screen. The annular space corresponding to the screened area of the well was filled with silica sand, and the annular space above the screened interval was filled with bentonite to prevent short-circuiting of air to or from the surface.

Table 1. Initial Soil Gas Composition at the Old Fire Training Area

Monitoring Point	Depth (ft)	Oxygen (%)	Carbon Dioxide (%)	TPH (ppm)
GS-1	2.5	3.1	14.0	1,420
GS-2	2.5	5.6	14.9	220
GS-3	2.5	12.6	7.9	116
GS-4	2.5	2.5	14.2	920
GS-5	2.5	3.3	12.0	146




Figure 6. Cross Section of Vent Wells and Monitoring Points at the Old Fire Training Area Showing Site Lithology and Construction Detail (not to scale)

Soil gas monitoring points were sacrificial points which consisted of ¼-inch tubing with an aluminum, 4-inch screened area. The sacrificial points were driven into the soil using a hammer drill. No soil borings were created nor was any sand added. A small amount of wetted bentonite was added at the surface. Monitoring point J1-MPA was installed at 2.5 and 4.5 feet, and monitoring point J1-MPB was installed at 2.5, 4.5, and 6.0 feet.

A Type J thermocouple was installed at a depth of 4.0 feet with monitoring point J1-MPA.

2.1.4 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses

Soil samples were collected at the Old Fire Training Area at depths of 4.5 to 5.0 feet and 5.0 to 5.5 feet from the vent well (VW-1) borehole and were labeled J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0' and J1-VW1-5.0'-5.5', respectively. The samples were sent under chain of custody to Engineering-Science, Inc., Berkeley Laboratory for analyses of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX); TPH; alkalinity; moisture content; pH; iron; total phosphorous; total Kjeldahl nitrogen; and particle size.

Soil gas samples were collected from monitoring points J1-MPA and J1-MPB, and were labeled J1-MPA-2.5', J1-MPA-4.5', and J1-MPB-4.5'. These samples were sent under chain of custody to Air Toxics, Ltd., in Rancho Cordova, California, for analyses of BTEX and TPH.

2.1.5 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence

A detailed description of the method for conducting a soil gas permeability test, including equations to compute k , the soil gas permeability, is given in the Test Plan and Technical Protocol (Hinchee et al., 1992).

At the Old Fire Training Area, air was injected with a portable 1-horsepower (HP) explosion-proof positive displacement blower unit. After air injection was initiated, pressure readings were taken approximately every 1 to 2 minutes for the first hour, then approximately every 10 minutes for the following hour. Because the pressure measured at the monitoring points reached steady-state rapidly, the steady-state method for determining soil gas permeability was used.

2.1.6 In Situ Respiration Test

Air containing approximately 1% helium was injected into the soil at the Old Fire Training Area for approximately 24 hours, beginning on February 22. Air was injected concurrently into the background monitoring well to measure the natural biodegradation of organic material in the soil. The setup for the in situ respiration test is described by the Test Plan and Technical Protocol (Hinchee et al., 1992). The pump used for air injection was a 1/2-HP diaphragm pump. Air and helium were injected through the following monitoring points at the depths indicated: J1-MPA-2.5'; J1-MPA-4.5'; and J1-MPB-4.5'. In addition, a temporary monitoring point was installed at a depth of 4.5 feet for use during the in situ respiration test. This monitoring point was labeled J1-MPT-4.5'. After the air/helium injection was turned off, the respiration gases were monitored periodically. The respiration test was terminated on February 26.

Helium concentrations were measured during the in situ respiration test to quantify helium leakage to or from the surface around the monitoring points. Helium loss over time is attributed to either diffusion or leakage. A rapid drop in helium concentration followed by a leveling is an indication of leakage. A gradual loss along with an apparent first-order curve is an indicator of diffusion. As a rough estimate, the diffusion of gas molecules is inversely proportional to the square root of the molecular weight of the gas. Based on molecular weights of 4 for helium and 32 for oxygen, helium gas diffuses about 2.8 times faster than oxygen, or the diffusion of oxygen is 0.35 times the rate of helium diffusion. As a general rule, we have found that if helium concentrations are at least 50 to 60% of the initial levels at test completion, measured oxygen uptake rates are representative. Greater helium loss indicates a problem, and oxygen utilization rates are not considered representative.

To compare data from one site to another, a stoichiometric relationship of the oxidation of the hydrocarbon was assumed. Hexane was used as the representative hydrocarbon for the organic contaminant. The stoichiometric relationship is given by:



Based on the utilization rates (% per day), the biodegradation rates in terms of mg as a hexane equivalent per kg of soil per day were computed using the equation below by assuming a soil porosity of 0.3 and a bulk density of 1,440 kg/m³.

$$K_b = \frac{-K_o A D_o C}{100} \quad (2)$$

where: K_b = biodegradation rate (mg/kg/day)

K_o = oxygen utilization rate (percent per day)

A = volume of air/kg of soil, in this case $300/1,440 = 0.21$

D_o = density of oxygen gas (mg/L), assumed to be 1,330 mg/L

C = mass ratio of hydrocarbon to oxygen required for mineralization, assumed to be 1/3.5 from the above stoichiometric equation.

2.2 Results and Discussion

2.2.1 Soil and Soil Gas Analyses

Results of the soil analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Old Fire Training Area are presented in Table 2. The analytical report for this site is presented in Appendix B. Concentrations of the BTEX compounds in soil samples ranged from below the detection limit for all compounds up to 0.90 mg/kg (toluene), and TPH concentrations ranged from 5,300 to 10,000 mg/kg. The soil gas analyses also showed similar measurements of BTEX and TPH, with concentrations of TPH ranging from below the detection limit (benzene and toluene) up to 5.2 ppmv (total xylenes) (Table 2). The results of the soil chemistry analyses are summarized in Table 3.

2.2.2 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence

The raw data for the soil gas permeability test at the Old Fire Training Area are presented in Appendix C. Using the steady-state method for calculating soil gas permeability, a soil gas permeability of 3.4 darcys was determined.

Typically, the radius of influence is determined by plotting the log of the pressure change at a specific monitoring point versus the distance from the vent well (Figure 7). The radius of influence is that point at which 1 inch of water pressure can be measured. However, at this site, 1 inch of

Table 2. Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Old Fire Training Area

Matrix	Sample Name	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TPH ¹ (mg/kg)
Soil	J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0'	<0.67	<0.79	<0.56	<1.0	10,000
	J1-VW1-5.0'-5.5'	<0.67	0.90	<0.67	<1.0	5,300
Matrix	Sample Name	Benzene (ppmv)	Toluene (ppmv)	Ethylbenzene (ppmv)	Total Xylenes (ppmv)	TPH ² (ppmv)
Soil Gas	J1-MPA-2.5'	<0.021	0.20	0.90	2.0	510
	J1-MPA-4.5'	<0.022	<0.022	0.46	1.2	330
	J1-MPB-4.5'	<0.053	1.2	1.5	5.2	510

¹ Referenced to a reference oil composed of a mixture of 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, *n*-hexadecane, and chlorobenzene.

² TPH referenced to gasoline (molecular weight = 100).

Table 3. Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Old Fire Training Area

Parameter	Sample Name	
	J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0'	J1-VW1-5.0'-5.5'
Alkalinity (mg/kg CaCO_3)	670	410
Moisture (% by weight)	10.7	11.3
pH	8.9	8.9
Iron (mg/kg)	225	317
Total Phosphorous (mg/kg)	330	410
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/kg)	440	440
Particle Size Analysis (%)	Gravel: 37	Gravel: 51
	Sand: 50	Sand: 42
	Silt: 8	Silt: 5
	Clay: 5	Clay: 2




Figure 7. Radius of Influence at the Old Fire Training Area

water pressure was not achieved at any of the monitoring points. Soil gas monitoring during the test, though, showed increased oxygen levels at least 30 feet from the vent well. These levels indicated that pressure change was not the best method for calculating the radius of influence at this site, because soil was oxygenated even without a large pressure change.

2.2.3 In Situ Respiration Test

The results of the in situ respiration test for the Old Fire Training Area are presented in Appendix D. Each figure in Appendix D illustrates the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and helium concentrations as a function of time. An example of typical oxygen utilization at this site is shown in Figure 8, where oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production at monitoring point J1-MPA-2.5' are illustrated. A summary of the oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates and corresponding biodegradation rates is shown in Table 4. The biodegradation rates measured at this site were fairly high, with rates ranging from 5.4 to 15 mg/kg/day based on oxygen utilization, and from 2.3 to 6.2 mg/kg/day based on carbon dioxide production.

Loss of helium was insignificant at all monitoring points except at J1-MPT-4.5', indicating that the monitoring points were well sealed and that the oxygen depletion observed was a result of biodegradation. Helium loss at J1-MPT-4.5' was rapid enough that some leakage may have occurred.

Soil temperatures were measured during the in situ respiration test. Temperatures during the test ranged from 24.9°C to 26.0°C.

2.2.4 Bioventing Demonstration

The decision was made to install a bioventing system at the Old Fire Training Area. We are currently waiting for power to be installed at the site in order to initiate bioventing.




Figure 8. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J1-MPA-2.5' at the Old Fire Training Area

Table 4. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Old Fire Training Area

Sample Name	Oxygen Utilization Rate (%/hour)	Biodegradation Rate (mg/kg/day)	Carbon Dioxide Production Rate (%/hour)	Biodegradation Rate (mg/kg/day)
Background	0.0074	0.14	0.0017	0.037
J1-MPA-2.5'	0.28	5.4	0.12	4.5
J1-MPA-4.5'	0.35	6.7	0.17	3.6
J1-MPB-4.5'	0.28	5.4	0.11	2.3
J1-MPT-4.5'	0.78	15	0.29	6.2

3.0 FORMER POL TANK FARM

3.1 Chronology of Events and Site Activities

3.1.1 Groundwater Measurements

There were no existing groundwater monitoring wells at the Former POL Tank Farm. Groundwater was recorded at the vent well described in Section 3.1.3 at a depth of 5.5 feet. Groundwater levels at the site may fluctuate as much as 2 feet due to tidal influence.

3.1.2 Soil Gas Survey

On February 20, 1993, a limited soil gas survey was conducted to locate a suitable test area at the Former POL Tank Farm. Soil gases were sampled by driving a 5/8-inch-diameter stainless steel probe into the soil with a hammer drill. Soil gas was withdrawn with a vacuum pump and analyzed for oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH. Soil gas measurements were taken as described in Section 2.1.2.

The soil gas probes were driven to depths ranging from 2.5 to 5.0 feet at several locations at the Former POL Tank Farm. Table 5 provides the initial concentrations of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH for the various locations. Relatively low concentrations of oxygen were found at some of the soil gas probes, with concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 13.2%. Relatively high concentrations of carbon dioxide (2.2 to 16.2%) and TPH (88 to 1,600 ppm) were encountered. The low concentrations of oxygen indicate that some areas at this site may respond to bioventing.

3.1.3 Vent Well, Monitoring Point, and Thermocouple Installation

On February 21, 1993, one vent well and three monitoring points were installed at the Former POL Tank Farm, and soil samples were collected for analyses. The monitoring points were labeled J2-MPA, J2-MPB, and J2-MPC. The locations of the vent well and monitoring points are shown in Figure 3. A cross section of the vent well and monitoring points showing site lithology and construction detail is shown in Figure 9.

Table 5. Initial Soil Gas Composition at the Former POL Tank Farm

Monitoring Point	Depth (ft)	Oxygen (%)	Carbon Dioxide (%)	TPH (ppm)
GS-1	2.5	13.2	> 5.0	NM
	5.0	13.0	> 5.0	NM
GS-2	2.5	12.5	> 5.0	NM
GS-3	2.5	13.0	> 5.0	NM
GS-4	2.5	13.0	> 5.0	NM
	5.0	13.2	> 5.0	NM
GS-5	2.5	12.0	8.0	120
GS-6	2.5	1.0	15.8	1,600
GS-7	2.5	2.0	2.2	88
GS-8	2.5	1.5	16.2	1,000

NM Not measured.




Figure 9. Cross Section of Vent Well and Monitoring Points at the Former POL Tank Farm Showing Site Lithology and Construction Detail (not to scale)

The vent well was installed at a depth of 8.0 feet into an 8-inch-diameter borehole. The vent well consisted of Schedule 40 2-inch-diameter PVC piping with 4.5 feet of ten-slot screen. The annular space corresponding to the screened area of the well was filled with silica sand, whereas the annular space above the screened interval was filled with bentonite to prevent short-circuiting of air to or from the surface.

Soil gas monitoring points were sacrificial points consisting of 1/4-inch tubing with an aluminum 4-inch screened area. The sacrificial points were driven into the soil with a hammer drill. No soil borings were created, nor was any sand added. A small amount of wetted bentonite was added at the surface. All monitoring points were installed at depths of 2.5, 4.5, and 6.0 feet.

A Type J thermocouple was installed at a depth of 4.0 feet with monitoring point J2-MPB.

3.1.4 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses

Soil samples were collected at the Former POL Tank Farm at depths of 4.5 to 5.0 feet and 5.0 to 5.5 feet from the vent well borehole and were labeled J2-VW-4.5'-5.0' and J2-VW-5.0'-5.5'. The samples were sent under chain of custody to Engineering-Science, Inc., Berkeley Laboratory for analyses of BTEX, TPH, alkalinity, moisture content, pH, iron, total phosphorous, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, and particle size.

Soil gas samples were collected from monitoring points J2-MPA and J2-MPB. These samples were labeled J2-MPA-2.5', J2-MPA-4.5', and J2-MPB-4.5' and were sent under chain of custody to Air Toxics, Ltd., in Rancho Cordova, California, for analyses of BTEX and TPH.

3.1.5 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence

A detailed description of the method for conducting a soil gas permeability test, including equations to compute k , the soil gas permeability, is presented by the Test Plan and Technical Protocol (Hinchee et al., 1992).

A portable 1-HP explosion-proof positive displacement blower unit was used to inject air at the Former POL Tank Farm. After air injection was initiated, pressure readings were taken approximately every 1 to 2 minutes for the first hour, then approximately every 10 minutes for the following hour. The steady-state method was used to calculate the soil gas permeability.

3.1.6 In Situ Respiration Test

The setup for the in situ respiration test was as described in Section 2.1.6. Air containing approximately 1% helium was injected into the soil at the Former POL Tank Farm for approximately 24 hours, beginning on February 22. The pump used for air injection was a ½-HP diaphragm pump. Air and helium were injected through the following monitoring points at the depths indicated: J2-MPA-2.5'; J2-MPA-4.5'; and J2-MPB-4.5'. After the air/helium injection was turned off, the respiration gases were monitored periodically. The respiration test was terminated on February 26.

3.2 Results and Discussion

3.2.1 Soil and Soil Gas Analyses

Results of the soil analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Former POL Tank Farm are presented in Table 6. The analytical report for this site is presented in Appendix B. Benzene and toluene were at concentrations below the detection limit in soil and soil gas samples, whereas TPH concentrations ranged from 9,300 to 16,800 mg/kg in soil and from 3,400 to 4,400 ppmv in soil gas. The results of the soil chemistry analyses are summarized in Table 7.

3.2.2 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence

The raw data for the soil gas permeability test at the Former POL Tank Farm are presented in Appendix E. Using the steady-state method, a soil gas permeability of 3.7 darcys was calculated for the site. As discussed in Section 2.2.2, a very small radius of influence was calculated based on pressure change (Figure 10). However, oxygen levels increased at most points, indicating a radius of influence of at least 25 feet.

3.2.3 In Situ Respiration Test

The results of the in situ respiration test for the Former POL Tank Farm are presented in Appendix F. Each figure in Appendix F illustrates the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and helium concentrations as a function of time. An example of typical oxygen utilization at this site is shown in

Table 6. Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Former POL Tank Farm

Matrix	Sample Name	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TPH¹ (mg/kg)
Soil	J2-VW-4.5'-5.0'	<1.3	<1.6	3.1	2.5	9,300
	J2-VW-5.0'-5.5'	<3.3	<3.9	3.9	<5.0	16,800
Matrix	Sample Name	Benzene (ppmv)	Toluene (ppmv)	Ethylbenzene (ppmv)	Total Xylenes (ppmv)	TPH² (ppmv)
Soil Gas	J2-MPA-2.5'	<0.20	<0.20	2.3	2.3	3,400
	J2-MPA-4.5'	<0.20	<0.20	3.2	2.4	3,700
	J2-MPB-4.5'	<0.26	<0.26	3.6	2.1	4,400

¹ Referenced to a reference oil composed of a mixture of 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, *n*-hexadecane, and chlorobenzene.

² TPH referenced to gasoline (molecular weight = 100).

Table 7. Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Former POL Tank Farm

Parameter	Sample Name	
	J2-VW-4.5'-5.0'	J2-VW-5.0'-5.5'
Alkalinity (mg/kg CaCO_3)	480	500
Moisture (% by weight)	23.5	22.6
pH	7.7	7.8
Iron (mg/kg)	181	4.8
Total Phosphorous (mg/kg)	750	650
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/kg)	700	670
Particle Size Analysis (%)	Gravel: 45	Gravel: 39
	Sand: 44	Sand: 47
	Silt: 6	Silt: 8
	Clay: 5	Clay: 6




Figure 10. Radius of Influence at the Former POL Tank Farm

Figure 11, where oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production at monitoring point J2-MPA-2.5' are illustrated. A summary of the oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates and the corresponding biodegradation rates is shown in Table 8. The biodegradation rates measured at this site were relatively high, with rates ranging from 4.2 to 5.2 mg/kg/day based on oxygen utilization, and from 0.28 to 1.1 mg/kg/day based on carbon dioxide production.

Loss of helium was insignificant at all monitoring points, indicating that the monitoring points were well sealed and that the oxygen depletion observed was a result of biodegradation.

Soil temperatures were not measured during the in situ respiration test because the thermocouple was not installed until after the test.

3.2.4 Bioventing Demonstration

The decision was made to install a bioventing system at the Former POL Tank Farm. The bioventing system was initiated on March 1, 1993, at a flowrate of 40 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm).

Table 8. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Former POL Tank Farm

Sample Name	Oxygen Utilization Rate (%/hour)	Biodegradation Rate (mg/kg/day)	Carbon Dioxide Production Rate (%/hour)	Biodegradation Rate (mg/kg/day)
Background	0.0074	0.14	0.0017	0.037
J2-MPA-2.5'	0.22	4.3	0.013	0.28
J2-MPA-4.5'	0.22	4.2	0.029	0.63
J2-MPB-4.5'	0.27	5.2	0.050	1.1




Figure 11. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J2-MPA-2.5' at the Former POL Tank Farm

4.0 STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE

4.1 Chronology of Events and Site Activities

4.1.1 Groundwater Measurements

There were no existing groundwater wells at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site. Groundwater was measured at the vent well described in Section 4.1.3 at a depth of 5.5 feet.

4.1.2 Soil Gas Survey

On February 20, 1993, a limited soil gas survey was conducted to locate a suitable test area at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site. Soil gases were sampled by driving a 5/8-inch-diameter stainless steel probe into the soil with a hammer drill. Soil gas was withdrawn with a vacuum pump and analyzed for oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH. Soil gas measurements were taken as described in Section 2.1.2.

The soil gas probes were driven to depths ranging from 2.5 to 5.5 feet at several locations. Table 9 provides the initial concentrations of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH for the various locations at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site. Relatively low concentrations of oxygen were found at some of the soil gas probes, with concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 14.0%. Relatively high concentrations of carbon dioxide (4.0 to 16.3%) and TPH (100 to greater than 20,000 ppm) were encountered. The low concentrations of oxygen indicate that some areas at this site may respond to bioventing.

4.1.3 Vent Well, Monitoring Point, and Thermocouple Installation

On February 23, one vent well and three monitoring points were installed at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site. The monitoring points were labeled J3-MPA, J3-MPB, and J3-MPC. The locations of the vent well and monitoring points are shown in Figure 4. A cross section of the vent well and monitoring points showing site lithology and construction detail is shown in Figure 12.

The vent well was installed at a depth of 8.0 feet into an 8-inch-diameter borehole. The vent well consisted of Schedule 40 2-inch-diameter PVC piping with 4.5 feet of ten-slot screen. The annular space corresponding to the screened area of the well was filled with silica sand, whereas the annular space above the screened interval was filled with bentonite to prevent short-circuiting of air to

Table 9. Initial Soil Gas Composition at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site

Monitoring Point	Depth (ft)	Oxygen (%)	Carbon Dioxide (%)	TPH (ppm)
GS-1	2.5	9.0	8.0	100
	3.5	8.4	8.0	106
	4.5	7.9	8.7	112
	5.5	7.5	9.2	166
GS-2	2.5	0.8	13.0	2,300
GS-3	2.5	0.5	12.1	520
GS-4	2.5	2.0	12.3	> 20,000
GS-5	2.5	14.0	4.0	NM
GS-6	2.5	4.0	12.0	1,760
GS-7	2.5	4.0	16.3	> 20,000
GS-8	2.5	2.0	15.5	9,000
GS-9	2.5	4.4	14.7	4,000




Figure 12. Cross Section of Vent Well and Monitoring Points at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site Showing Site Lithology and Construction Detail (not to scale)

to or from the surface.

Soil gas probes were sacrificial points which consisted of 1/4-inch tubing with an aluminum, 4-inch screened area. The sacrificial points were driven into the soil using a hammer drill. No soil borings were created, nor was any sand added. A small amount of wetted bentonite was added at the surface. All monitoring points were installed at depths of 2.5, 4.5, and 6.0 feet.

A Type J thermocouple was installed with monitoring points J3-MPB-2.5' and J3-MPC-4.5'. In addition, two Type J thermocouples were installed with the vent well at depths of 4.5 and 7.0 feet.

4.1.4 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses

Soil samples were collected at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site at depths of 4.0 to 4.5 feet and 4.5 to 5.0 feet from the vent well borehole and were labeled J3-VW-4.0'-4.5' and J3-VW-4.5'-5.0', respectively. The samples were sent under chain of custody to Engineering-Science, Inc., Berkeley Laboratory for analyses of BTEX, TPH, alkalinity, moisture content, pH, iron, total phosphorous, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, and particle size.

Soil gas samples were collected from monitoring points J3-MPA, J3-MPB, and J3-MPC, and were labeled J3-MPA-2.5', J3-MPB-4.5', J3-MPC-2.5', and J3-MPC-4.5'. These samples were sent under chain of custody to Air Toxics, Ltd., in Rancho Cordova, California, for analyses of BTEX and TPH.

4.1.5 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence

A detailed description of the method for conducting a soil gas permeability test, including equations to compute k , the soil gas permeability, is presented by the Test Plan and Technical Protocol (Hinchey et al., 1992).

A portable 1-HP explosion-proof positive displacement blower unit was used to inject air at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site. After air injection was initiated, pressure readings were taken approximately every 1 to 2 minutes for the first hour, then approximately every 10 minutes for the following hour. The steady-state method was used to calculate the soil gas permeability.

4.1.6 In Situ Respiration Test

Air containing approximately 1% helium was injected into the soil at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site for approximately 24 hours, beginning on February 24. The setup for the in situ

Table 11. Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site

Parameter	Sample Name	
	J3-VW-4.0'-4.5'	J3-VW-4.5'-5.0'
Alkalinity (mg/kg CaCO_3)	340	370
Moisture (% by weight)	12.4	12.5
pH	8.9	9.1
Iron (mg/kg)	92.7	74.5
Total Phosphorous (mg/kg)	320	360
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/kg)	140	140
Particle Size Analysis (%)	Gravel: 29	Gravel: 25
	Sand: 59	Sand: 60
	Silt: 6	Silt: 8
	Clay: 6	Clay: 7




Figure 13. Radius of Influence at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site




Figure 14. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J3-MPA-2.5' at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site

Table 12. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site

Sample Name	Oxygen Utilization Rate (%/hour)	Biodegradation Rate (mg/kg/day)	Carbon Dioxide Production (%/hour)	Biodegradation Rate (mg/kg/day)
Background	0.0074	0.014	0.0017	0.037
J3-MPA-2.5'	0.77	15	0.013	0.27
J3-MPB-4.5'	0.98	19	0.15	3.3
J3-MPC-2.5'	0.38	7.3	0.049	1.1
J3-MPC-4.5'	0.44	8.4	0.047	1.0

19 mg/kg/day based on oxygen utilization, and 0.27 to 3.3 mg/kg/day based on carbon dioxide production.

Loss of helium was insignificant at all monitoring points, indicating that the monitoring points were well sealed and that the oxygen depletion observed was a result of biodegradation.

Soil temperatures were measured during the in situ respiration test and ranged from 27.8 to 28.7°C (J3-MPB-2.5), from 23.7 to 26.1°C (J3-MPC-4.5'), and from 27.3 to 30.7°C (vent well - 4.5').

4.2.4 Bioventing Demonstration

The decision was made to install a bioventing system at the Storage Tanks 260 and 261 Site. The bioventing system was initiated in mid-March at a flowrate of 22 scfm.

5.0 STORAGE TANK 49 SITE

To avoid disturbing the free product recovery system in place at the Storage Tank 49 Site, the only activities conducted were to install a 1¼" drive point as a vent well. The vent well was installed to depth of 5.0 feet with 3.0 feet of screen. A 1.5-foot bentonite seal was added at the surface. In addition, three sacrificial points (described previously) were installed at depths of 4.5 feet. The monitoring points were placed 5.0, 10.0, and 20.0 feet away from the vent well and were labeled J4-MPA, J4-MPB, and J4-MPC, respectively.

A wind-powered turbine was attached to the vent well on February 25, 1993, to determine whether this would aerate the soil. Soil gas oxygen concentrations were measured at the three monitoring points over a 3-day period. As shown in Table 13, an increase in oxygen concentration was observed at J4-MPA and a slight increase was observed at monitoring point J4-MPB.

There were no soil or soil gas samples collected at this site for laboratory analyses, nor was an in situ respiration test or an air permeability test conducted.

Table 13. Soil Gas Oxygen Concentrations (%) at the Tank 49 Site

Date	Monitoring Point		
	J4-MPA	J4-MPB	J4-MPC
February 24 Initial	0	0	0
February 25 Immediately after installation of turbine	0	0	0
February 26	0.03	0.05	-0.1
February 27	2.9	0.7	-0.2
February 28	3.0	0.1	-0.2

6.0 BACKGROUND AREA

An uncontaminated background (BG) area was located approximately 120 feet north of the Old Fire Training Area (Figure 1). A vent well was not installed in this area, but a monitoring point was installed at a depth of 4.5 feet. The monitoring point consisted of 1/4-inch tubing connected to a 1-inch-diameter by 6-inch-long screened area. A 1.5-foot-thick sand pack was used around the screened area, followed by fill and a 1-foot interval of wetted bentonite. The site lithology at this area was representative of that in the contaminated areas.

Soil samples were collected at depths of 4.5 to 5.0 feet, and 5.0 to 5.5 feet, 5.5 to 6.0, and 6.0 to 6.5 feet from the borehole and were labeled J-BG-4.5'-5.0', J-BG-5.0'-5.5', J-BG-5.5'-6.0', and J-BG-6.0'-6.5', respectively. The samples were sent under chain of custody to Engineering-Science, Inc., Berkeley Laboratory for analyses of BTEX, TPH, alkalinity, moisture content, pH, iron, total phosphorous, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, and particle size. Two soil gas samples were collected from the monitoring point and were labeled J-BKG-4.5' and J-BKG. These samples were sent under chain of custody to Air Toxics, Ltd., in Rancho Cordova, California, for analyses of BTEX and TPH.

Results of the soil analyses for BTEX and TPH are presented in Table 14. The analytical report for this site is presented in Appendix B. Most of the BTEX compounds were at concentrations below the detection limit in soil samples, except for trace levels of toluene and total xylenes, whereas TPH concentrations were 16 to 70 mg/kg. The soil gas analyses also showed relatively low BTEX and TPH concentrations with concentrations ranging from below the detection limit for toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes up to 0.017 ppmv of benzene. TPH concentrations were 2.6 to 6.5 ppmv (Table 14). The results of the soil chemistry analyses are summarized in Table 15.

An in situ respiration test was conducted at the background area beginning on February 23, 1993, after 24 hours of air injection. The test was concluded on February 25. No significant change in oxygen concentration was observed at this area (Figure 15).

Table 14. Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at the Background Area

Matrix	Sample Name	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TPH¹ (mg/kg)
Soil	J-BG-4.5'-5.0'	< 0.0006	0.0009	< 0.0005	0.0009	70
	J-BG-5.0'-5.5'	< 0.0006	0.0005	< 0.0005	0.0009	16
Matrix	Sample Name	Benzene (ppmv)	Toluene (ppmv)	Ethylbenzene (ppmv)	Total Xylenes (ppmv)	TPH² (ppmv)
Soil Gas	J-BKG-4.5'	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.003	6.5
	J-BKG	0.017	0.004	< 0.002	< 0.002	2.6

¹ Referenced to a reference oil composed of a mixture of 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, *n*-hexadecane, and chlorobenzene.

² TPH referenced to gasoline (molecular weight = 100).

Table 15. Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at the Background Area

Parameter	Sample Name	
	J-BG-5.5'-6.0'	J-BG-6.0'-6.5'
Alkalinity (mg/kg CaCO ₃)	360	370
Moisture (% by weight)	17.5	13.9
pH	8.9	9.0
Iron (mg/kg)	351	414
Total Phosphorous (mg/kg)	270	310
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/kg)	140	260
Particle Size Analysis (%)	Gravel: 30	NA
	Sand: 54	NA
	Silt: 11	NA
	Clay: 5	NA

NA No analysis for this parameter.




Figure 15. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at the Background Area

7.0 FUTURE WORK

Base personnel will be required to perform a simple weekly system check to ensure that the blower is operating within its intended flowrate, pressure, and temperature range. An on-site briefing for base personnel who will be responsible for blower system checks was conducted when the blowers were installed. The principle of operation was explained, and a simple checklist and logbook were provided for blower data. Base personnel will be asked to perform minor maintenance activities, such as replacing filters or gauges, or draining condensate from knockout chambers, but they will not be expected to perform complicated repairs or analyze gas samples. Replacement filters and gauges will be provided and shipped to the base, and serious problems, such as motor or blower failures, will be corrected by Battelle.

The progress of this system will be monitored by conducting semiannual respiration tests in the vent well and in each monitoring point and by regularly measuring the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and hydrocarbon concentrations in the extracted soil gas and comparing them to background levels. At least twice each year, the progress of the bioventing test will be reported to the base point-of-contact.

8.0 REFERENCE

Hinchee, R.E., S.K. Ong, R.N. Miller, D.C. Downey, and R. Frandt. 1992. *Test Plan and Technical Protocol for a Field Treatability Test for Bioventing* (Rev. 2), Report prepared by Battelle Columbus Operations, U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, and Engineering Sciences, Inc. for the U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.

APPENDIX A
TEST PLAN FOR JOHNSTON ISLAND FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE
NUCLEAR AGENCY, JOHNSTON ATOLL



Battelle

Putting Technology To Work

505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693
Telephone (614) 424-6424
Facsimile (614) 424-5263

January 18, 1993

Captain Catherine Vogel
HQ AFCESA/RAVW
139 Barnes Drive
Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-5319

Dear Cathy:

**SUBJECT: TEST PLAN FOR BIOVENTING INITIATIVE
FIELD TEST AT STORAGE TANK 49, STORAGE
TANKS 260 AND 261, AND THE FORMER POL AREA
AT JOHNSTON ATOLL AFB, JOHNSTON ISLAND**

This letter was prepared to accompany the report "Test Plan and Technical Protocol for a Field Treatability Test for Bioventing." The protocol document was developed as a generic test plan for the Air Force Bioventing Initiative Project in which Johnston Atoll AFS is participating. This letter outlines site specific information to support the generic test plan.

The sites chosen for the bioventing test initiative are Storage Tank 49, Storage Tanks 260 and 261, and the former POL Tank Farm Area adjacent to the base swimming pool.

The purpose of this project is to investigate the feasibility of using the bioventing technology to remediate petroleum contaminated soils at the above mentioned sites.

Site descriptions-

Johnston Atoll is an unincorporated territory of the United States located approximately 717 nautical miles west-southwest of Honolulu, Hawaii. Johnston Island (JI) is the largest of the four islands that make up the atoll with an area of approximately 625 acres (see Figure 1). The island soils are comprised mostly of dredged and filled coral from the lagoon. Soil gas surveys were performed at all three sites by the Air Force environmental contractor, Raytheon Services Nevada (RSN), in June of 1992. Summaries of the available data for each JI site proposed for the Bioventing Initiative are presented below.

Storage Tank #49-

Storage Tank #49 is a 557,000 gallon above ground diesel fuel tank located just west of the island power plant. Figure 2 shows soil gas hydrocarbon vapor contours in the vicinity of Tank #49. Soil gas analytical data for the Tank #49 area indicated petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations as high as 340 ppm, oxygen concentrations as low as 2%, and carbon dioxide concentrations as high as 12%.

340 ppm, oxygen concentrations as low as 2%, and carbon dioxide concentrations as high as 12%. This data would indicate that the Tank #49 site is a good candidate for bioventing.

Storage Tanks #260 and #261-

Storage Tanks #260 and #261 are located in the base POL tank farm. Each tank has an approximate capacity of 557,000 gallons of JP-5 fuel. Past spills of JP-5 jet fuel are known to have occurred here. Soil gas hydrocarbon concentrations in excess of 5000 ppm have been detected in the vicinity of tank #261. Figure 3 shows hydrocarbon vapor contours in the POL tank farm. Soil gas oxygen concentrations as low as 5% have been detected in the fuel farm area (see Figure 4). This data would indicate that the POL Tank farm site is a good candidate for bioventing.

Former POL Area-

In the 1940s and 1950s a POL tank farm was located in the area west of the site of the base swimming pool. Past spills and leaks in this area have resulted in petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the area. The soil gas survey performed in this area in June of 1992 indicated soil gas hydrocarbon concentrations as high as 30,000 ppm (Figure 5) and oxygen concentrations as low as 1% (Figure 6). As for the other Johnston Island sites, this data would indicate that the former POL area site is a good candidate for bioventing.

Project activities-

The following field activities are planned for each site participating in bioventing project at JI. Additional detail can be found in Section 5.0 of the generic test plan and technical protocol.

- 1- A small scale soil gas survey will be conducted to identify an appropriate location for installation of the bioventing system. Soil vapor from the candidate site must exhibit high petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations (10,000 ppm or greater depending on contamination source), relatively low O₂ concentrations (0% to 2.0%), and relatively high CO₂ concentrations (depending on soil type, 2.0% to 10.0%). There are monitoring wells in the area of each site which may be good candidates for use as bioventing wells. The soil gas survey will be concentrated around these wells.

An uncontaminated background location will also be identified using soil gas survey techniques.

- 2- Once the installation site is located, one vent well and three 3-level soil gas monitoring points will be installed in the contaminated location and one vent well will be installed in the background area. The existing monitoring wells will be evaluated for use as the bioventing air injection well. If none of the existing wells are suitable for use as the bioventing well, a trailer mounted drill rig (if available) with solid or hollow stem auger will be used to bore down to the water table and install a 2-inch vent well. A hand auger will be used to install the vent wells if the drill rig is not available. Three to four soil samples will be collected for chemical/physical analysis.

Sacrificial drive points will be used for the permanent (three-level) soil gas monitoring points, if possible. Otherwise, the three-level points will be installed using the portable drill rig.

- 3- The air permeability test will be conducted in the contaminated test location.
- 4- Following the air permeability test, in situ respiration tests will be conducted in both the contaminated and the background test locations.
- 5- Depending on the results of the air permeability test and the in situ respiration test, a decision will be made whether or not to install a blower system in the contaminated area for the long term bioventing test. If the decision is made to install, the blower will be plumbed to the vent well and bioventing will be started (assuming power is available). Site personnel will be trained for blower operation prior to Battelle leaving the site.

Schedule-

Field activities at Johnston Atoll are planned to begin on February 8, 1993. Battelle will have 3 people on site for 2 to 3 weeks.

Base Support-

Johnston Atoll needs to be able to provide the following:

- Digging permits and utility clearance for all sites need to be obtained prior to the initiation of the field work. Underground utilities should be clearly marked to reduce the chance of utility damage or personal injury during soil gas probe and well installation. Battelle will not be able to begin field operations without these clearances.
- Electrical power will need to be easily accessible from the project site. The air permeability test and in situ respiration test can be performed using a gasoline powered electric generator. The operation of the bioventing system will require a permanent 120 V, 20 amp, power source. If power will not be available immediately after the test is completed, the bioventing system will be installed for start-up at a later date. It would be best if power hook ups can be made before Battelle's field team leaves Johnston Island.
- The use of the drilling rig currently on JI would be very helpful in performing well installation and soil sampling. RSN has indicated that the rig may be available for our use. Please let us know if use of the drill rig will not be possible.
- Regulatory approval, if any is required, will need to be obtained by the base prior to start-up of the bioventing system. The system will likely be configured for air

Captain Cathy Vogel
Tyndall Air Force Base

4


January 15, 1993

injection so there will be no point source vapor emission from the system. No groundwater will be extracted from the sites.

- Drums for containment of contaminated soil cuttings (if required). The base will be responsible for disposition of any contaminated soils.
- We will need land transportation to transport personnel and equipment between the bioventing sites. A pick-up truck would be preferable.
- Site specific safety information will be needed for incorporation into Battelle's Health and Safety Plan. This information includes: emergency phone numbers for ambulance, fire department, security, etc... .
- Base and site clearance will be required for Battelle's site employees. We have furnished the base POC with personal information for each person in Battelle's field team (refer to our letter dated December 16, 1992).

Thank you for your support for this bioremediation research project. If you have any questions please feel free to call me at (614) 424-6122.

Sincerely,



Jeffrey A. Kittel
Researcher
Environmental Technology Department

JAK:sh

cc: Major Ross Miller (AFCEE)
Major Hermanski Patterson
FCDNA/FCJE
APO AP 96558




FIGURE 1. JOHNSTON ISLAND BASE MAP.




FIGURE 2. SITE MAP FOR TANK #49 BIOVENTING PROJECT.




FIGURE 3. SOIL GAS HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATIONS AT THE TANK 260 AND TANK 261 BIOVENTING SITE.




FIGURE 4. SOIL GAS OXYGEN CONCENTRATIONS AT THE TANK 260 AND

TANK 261





FIGURE 5. SOIL GAS HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATIONS AT THE OLD POL TANK FARM BIOVENTING SITE.



JOHNSTON ATOLL
SWIMMING POOL SITE

JOHNSTON ISLAND
OXYGEN (02)

EXPLANATION

- Sampling Probe Location
- Soil Gas Sample Value (%)
- Isoconcentration Line (%)

1-82-370-S

APPENDIX B

**ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR THE OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA,
THE FORMER POL TANK FARM, THE STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE,
THE STORAGE TANK 49 SITE, AND THE BACKGROUND AREA**

@ AIR TOXICS LTD.

AN ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL LABORATORY

WORK ORDER #: 9303007

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jeff Kittel
Battelle
505 King Ave.
Columbus, OH 43201

BILL TO: Accounts Payable
Engineering Science
1700 Broadway, Ste. 900
Denver, CO 80290

PHONE: 614-424-6122
FAX: 614-424-3667
DATE RECEIVED: 3/2/93
DATE COMPLETED: 3/11/93

INVOICE # 0415
P.O. # 268.01
PROJECT # G4468-0615
AMOUNT\$: \$1,619.67

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>PRICE</u>
01A	J2-MPA-4.5'	TO-3	0"Hg	\$120.00
02A	J2-MPA-2.5'	TO-3	0"Hg	\$120.00
03A	J2-MPB-4.5'	TO-3	1.0 "Hg	\$120.00
04A	J1-MPA-2.5'	TO-3	1.5 "Hg	\$120.00
05A	J1-MPB-4.5'	TO-3	1.5 "Hg	\$120.00
06A	J1-MPA-4.5'	TO-3	2.0 "Hg	\$120.00
07A	J3-MPB-4.5'	TO-3	1.5 "Hg	\$120.00
08A	J3-MPC-2.5'	TO-3	1.0 "Hg	\$120.00
09A	J3-MPA-2.5'	TO-3	1.0 "Hg	\$120.00
10A	J3-MPC-4.5'	TO-3	1.0 "Hg	\$120.00
10B	J3-MPC-4.5' Duplicate	TO-3	1.0 "Hg	NC
11A	J-BKG-4.5'	TO-3	1.0 "Hg	\$120.00
12A	J-BKG	TO-3	1.5 "Hg	\$120.00
13A	Method Spike	TO-3	NA	NC
14A	Lab Blank	TO-3	NA	NC
14B	Lab Blank	TO-3	NA	NC

Mics Charges 1 Liter SUMMA Canister (12) @ \$ 10.00 each. \$120.00
Shipping (1/29/93) \$59.67

CERTIFIED BY:

David J. Freeman
Laboratory Director

DATE:

3/11/93

11325 SUNRISE GOLD CIRCLE, SUITE E • RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742

(916) 638-9892 • FAX (916) 638-9917

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J2-MPA-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-01A

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**

File Name: 6030320		Date of Collection: 2/22/93		
Dil. Factor: 200		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.20	0.62	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.20	0.74	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.20	0.85	3.2	14
Total Xylenes	0.20	0.85	2.4	10

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030320		Date of Collection: 2/22/93		
Dil. Factor: 200		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	2.0	12	3700	23000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J2-MPA-2.5'

ID#: 9303007-02A

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**File Name: 6030319
Dil. Factor: 200Date of Collection: 2/22/93
Date of Analysis: 3/3/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.20	0.62	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.20	0.74	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.20	0.85	2.3	9.8
Total Xylenes	0.20	0.85	2.3	9.8

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
GC/FID
(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)File Name: 6030319
Dil. Factor: 200Date of Collection: 2/22/93
Date of Analysis: 3/3/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	2.0	12	3400	21000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J2-MPB-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-03A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name:	6030321	Date of Collection:	2/22/93
Dil. Factor:	260	Date of Analysis:	3/3/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.26	0.8	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.26	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.26	1.1	3.6	15
Total Xylenes	0.26	1.1	2.1	8.9

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name:	6030321	Date of Collection:	2/22/93
Dil. Factor:	260	Date of Analysis:	3/3/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	2.6	16	4400	27000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J1-MPA-2.5'

ID#: 9303007-04A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name: 6030323

Date of Collection: 2/22/93

Dil. Factor: 21

Date of Analysis: 3/3/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.021	0.066	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.021	0.077	0.20	0.74
Ethyl Benzene	0.021	0.089	0.90	3.8
Total Xylenes	0.021	0.089	2.0	8.5

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030323

Date of Collection: 2/22/93

Dil. Factor: 21

Date of Analysis: 3/3/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.21	1.3	510	3100

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J1-MPB-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-05A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name: 6030324		Date of Collection: 2/22/93		
Dil. Factor: 53		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.053	0.17	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.053	0.20	1.2	4.4
Ethyl Benzene	0.053	0.22	1.5	6.4
Total Xylenes	0.053	0.22	5.2	22

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030324		Date of Collection: 2/22/93		
Dil. Factor: 53		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.53	3.3	510	3100

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J1-MPA-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-06A

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**

File Name: 6030325		Date of Collection: 2/22/93		
Dil. Factor: 22		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.022	0.069	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.022	0.081	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.022	0.093	0.46	2.0
Total Xylenes	0.022	0.093	1.2	5.1

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
GC/FID
(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030325		Date of Collection: 2/22/93		
Dil. Factor: 22		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.22	1.4	330	2000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J3-MPB-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-07A

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**

File Name:	6030326	Date of Collection:	2/27/93	
Dil. Factor:	110	Date of Analysis:	3/3/93	
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.11	0.34	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.11	0.40	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.11	0.47	2.4	10
Total Xylenes	0.11	0.47	2.5	11

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name:	6030326	Date of Collection:	2/27/93	
Dil. Factor:	110	Date of Analysis:	3/3/93	
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	1.1	6.9	1900	12000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J3-MPC-2.5'

ID#: 9303007-08A

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**

File Name:	6030407	Date of Collection:	2/27/93
Dil. Factor:	210	Date of Analysis:	3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.21	0.66	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.21	0.77	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.21	0.89	1.9	8.1
Total Xylenes	0.21	0.89	2.2	9.3

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name:	6030407	Date of Collection:	2/27/93
Dil. Factor:	210	Date of Analysis:	3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	2.1	13	1700	10000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J3-MPA-2.5'

ID#: 9303007-09A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name:	6030408	Date of Collection:	2/27/93
Dil. Factor:	100	Date of Analysis:	3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.10	0.31	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.10	0.37	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.10	0.42	1.2	5.1
Total Xylenes	0.10	0.42	2.4	10

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name:	6030408	Date of Collection:	2/27/93
Dil. Factor:	100	Date of Analysis:	3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	1.0	6.2	2100	13000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J3-MPC-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-10A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name: 6030409		Date of Collection: 2/27/93		
Dil. Factor: 52		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.052	0.16	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.052	0.19	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.052	0.22	0.48	2.0
Total Xylenes	0.052	0.22	0.80	3.4

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030409		Date of Collection: 2/27/93		
Dil. Factor: 52		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.52	3.2	650	4000

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J3-MPC-4.5' Duplicate

ID#: 9303007-10B

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**

File Name: 6030410		Date of Collection: 2/27/93		
Dil. Factor: 52		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.052	0.16	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.052	0.19	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.052	0.22	0.52	2.2
Total Xylenes	0.052	0.22	0.86	3.6

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030410		Date of Collection: 2/27/93		
Dil. Factor: 52		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.52	3.2	680	4200

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J-BKG-4.5'

ID#: 9303007-11A

EPA METHOD TO-3
(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)**GC/PID**

File Name: 6030411

Date of Collection: 2/22/93

Dil. Factor: 2.1

Date of Analysis: 3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.002	0.007	0.003	0.009
Toluene	0.002	0.008	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.002	0.009	Not Detected	Not Detected
Total Xylenes	0.002	0.009	0.003	0.013

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030411

Date of Collection: 2/22/93

Dil. Factor: 2.1

Date of Analysis: 3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.021	0.13	6.5	40

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: J-BKG

ID#: 9303007-12A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name: 6030412		Date of Collection: 2/27/93		
Dil. Factor: 2.1		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.002	0.007	0.017	0.053
Toluene	0.002	0.008	0.004	0.015
Ethyl Benzene	0.002	0.009	Not Detected	Not Detected
Total Xylenes	0.002	0.009	Not Detected	Not Detected

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030412		Date of Collection: 2/27/93		
Dil. Factor: 2.1		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.021	0.13	2.6	16

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: 1 Liter SUMMA Canister

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: Method Spike

ID#: 9303007-13A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name:	6030401	Date of Collection:	NA
Dil. Factor:	1.0	Date of Analysis:	3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	% Recovery
Benzene	0.001	0.003	101
Toluene	0.001	0.004	101
Ethyl Benzene	0.001	0.004	101
Total Xylenes	0.001	0.004	99

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name:	6030401	Date of Collection:	NA
Dil. Factor:	1.0	Date of Analysis:	3/4/93

Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	% Recovery
TPH*	0.010	0.062	100

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: NA

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: Lab Blank

ID#: 9303007-14A

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name: 6030315		Date of Collection: NA		
Dil. Factor: 1.0		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.001	0.003	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.001	0.004	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.001	0.004	Not Detected	Not Detected
Total Xylenes	0.001	0.004	Not Detected	Not Detected

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030315		Date of Collection: NA		
Dil. Factor: 1.0		Date of Analysis: 3/3/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.010	0.062	Not Detected	Not Detected

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: NA

AIR TOXICS LTD.

SAMPLE NAME: Lab Blank

ID#: 9303007-14B

EPA METHOD TO-3

(Aromatic Volatile Organics in Air)

GC/PID

File Name: 6030405		Date of Collection: NA		
Dil. Factor: 1.0		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
Benzene	0.001	0.003	Not Detected	Not Detected
Toluene	0.001	0.004	Not Detected	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.001	0.004	Not Detected	Not Detected
Total Xylenes	0.001	0.004	Not Detected	Not Detected

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**GC/FID**

(Quantitated as Jet Fuel)

File Name: 6030405		Date of Collection: NA		
Dil. Factor: 1.0		Date of Analysis: 3/4/93		
Compound	MDL (ppmv)	MDL (uG/L)	Amount (ppmv)	Amount (uG/L)
TPH*	0.010	0.062	Not Detected	Not Detected

*TPH referenced to Jet Fuel (MW=156)

Container Type: NA



AIR TOXICS LTD.
AN ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL LABORATORY

11325 SUNRISE GOLD CIRCLE, SUITE 'E'
RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742
(916) 638-9892 • FAX (916) 638-9917

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT # 64468-0615 PO # 268.01

DOB # Engineering Science

COLLECTED BY (Signature) J. Kettel / G. Leachy

REMARKS

JOHNSTON ATOLL / SEND RESULT TO: JEFF KETTEL / BATTLE, 505 KING AVE,
COLUMBUS, OH 43201 (614) 424-6122-

CAN #

FIELD SAMPLE I.D.# SAMPLING MEDIA (Tenax, Canister etc.)

DATE/TIME

ANALYSIS

VAC./PRESSURE

LAB I.D. #

01A	J2-MPA-4.5'	CANISTER	22 FEB 93 / 2020	BTEX / TPH	0	11444
02A	J2-MPA-2.5'	"	22 FEB 93 / 2000	"	0	12804
03A	J2-MPB-4.5'	"	22 FEB 93 / 2000	"	1.0"	12367
04A	J1-MPA-2.5'	"	22 FEB 93 / 1430	"	1.5"	12807
05A	J1-MPB-4.5'	"	22 FEB 93 / 1435	"	1.5"	12357
06A	J1-MPA-4.5'	"	22 FEB 93 / 1420	"	2.0"	11835
07A	J3-MPB-4.5'	"	27 FEB 93 / 1120	"	1.5"	12360
08A	J3-MPC-2.5'	"	27 FEB 93 / 1120	"	1.0"	12370
09A	J3-MPA-2.5'	"	27 FEB 93 / 1120	"	1.0" / 1.49	11459
10A/B	J3-MPC-4.5'	"	27 FEB 93 / 1120	"	1.0" / 1.49	11823
11A	J-BKG-4.5'	"	22 FEB 93 / 1440	"	1.0" / 1.49	11894
12A	J-BKG	"	27 FEB 93 / 1600	"	1.5" / 1.49	12390

RELINQUISHED BY: DATE/TIME

RECEIVED BY: DATE/TIME

RELINQUISHED BY: DATE/TIME

RECEIVED BY: DATE/TIME

LAB USE ONLY

SHIPPER NAME

AIR BILL #

OPENED BY: DATE/TIME

TEMP (°C)

CONDITION

FED-X 5191925804 Lynette Freeman ATZ

8/2/93 10:00

REMARKS



Report Date: March 22, 1993

Work Order No.: 4840

Client: Doug Downey
ES Denver/AFCEE (Battelle/Bioventing)
1700 Broadway
Denver, CO 80290

Date of Sample Receipt: 2/26/93

Your soil samples identified as:

J1VW1-4.5'-5.0'
J1VW1-5'-5.5'
J2VW4.5'-5.0'
J2VW5.0'-5.5'
J3VW4.0'-4.5'
J3VW4.5'-5.0'

were analyzed for BTEX by EPA Method 8020, TPH by EPA Method 418.1, alkalinity, iron, pH, moisture, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorous and soil classification.

In addition, your soil samples identified as:

JBG4.5'-5.0'
JBG5.0'-5.5'

were analyzed for BTEX by EPA Method 8020 and TPH by EPA Method 418.1.

Finally, your soil samples identified as:

JBG5.5'-6.0'
JBG6'-6.5'

were analyzed for alkalinity, iron, pH, moisture, total kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus and soil classification.

The analytical reports for the samples listed above are attached.

GC VOLATILES DATA PACKAGE

VOLATILE ORGANICS CASE NARRATIVE
WORK ORDER NO. 4840
MODIFIED EPA METHOD 8020

Samples J1VW1-4.5'-5.0' (4840-03), J1VW1-5'-5.5' (4840-04), J2VW4.5'-5.0' (4840-05), J2VW5.0'-5.5' (4840-06), J3VW4.0'-4.5' (4840-07) and J3VW4.5'-5.0' (4840-08) were analyzed as medium level soils due to hydrocarbon interference which resulted in elevated detection limits for these samples.

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:JBG4.5'-5.0'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-1

Level:LOW

Date Collected: 02/21/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:03/04/93

Date Confirmed:NA

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	0.6
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5
Toluene	0.9	0.7
Xylenes (total)	ND	0.9

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *AB*GROUP LEADER: *AB 2/12/93*

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:JBG5.0'-5.5'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-2

Level:LOW

Date Collected: 02/21/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:03/04/93
Date Confirmed:NA

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	0.6
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5
Toluene	ND	0.7
Xylenes (total)	ND	0.9

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *AB*GROUP LEADER: *AB*

3/12/93

GROUP LEADER:

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture: 11.3

Client ID:J1UW1-5'-5.5'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-4

Level:MEDIUM

Date Collected: 02/21/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 10

Date Analyzed:03/02/93

Date Confirmed:NA

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	670.0
Ethyl Benzene	670.0	560.0
Toluene	900.0	790.0
Xylenes (total)	ND	1000.0

ND-Not Detected

NA-Not Applicable

D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST:AB

GROUP LEADER:

 3/12/93

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture: 10.98

Client ID:J2VW4.5'-5.0'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-5

Level:MEDIUM

Date Collected: 02/21/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 20

Date Analyzed:03/02/93


Date Confirmed:NA

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	1300.0
Ethyl Benzene	3100.0	1100.0
Toluene	ND	1600.0
Xylenes (total)	2500.0	2000.0

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST: AB

GROUP LEADER:

 3/12/93

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEx Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture: 10.31

Client ID:J2VW5.0'-5.5'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-6

Level:MEDIUM

Date Collected: 02/21/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 50

Date Analyzed:03/02/93


Date Confirmed:NA
=====

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	3300.0
Ethyl Benzene	3900.0	2800.0
Toluene	ND	3900.0
Xylenes (total)	ND	5000.0

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST: AS

GROUP LEADER:


3/12/93

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture: 12.42

Client ID:J3VW4.0'-4.5'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-7

Level:MEDIUM

Date Collected: 02/23/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 10

Date Analyzed:03/02/93

Date Confirmed:NA
=====

Compound

Result

Reporting
Limit
=====

Benzene

ND

680.0

Ethyl Benzene

4400.0

570.0

Toluene

ND

800.0

Xylenes (total)


4100.0

1000.0

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST:AB

GROUP LEADER:

 3/12/93

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture: 12.51

Client ID:J3VW4.5'-5.0'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4840-8

Level:MEDIUM

Date Collected: 02/23/93

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 50

Date Analyzed:03/02/93

Date Confirmed:NA
=====

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	3400.0
Ethyl Benzene	26000.0	2900.0
Toluene	ND	4000.0
Xylenes (total)	23000.0	5200.0

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST: AB

GROUP LEADER:

Date Analyzed:03/02/93
Date Confirmed:NA

GROUP LEADER:

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
Analytical Method
BTEX Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4840

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:METHOD BLANK

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:MSUG3930304

Level:LOW

Date Collected: NA

Unit:UG/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:03/04/93

Date Confirmed:NA

Compound	Result	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ND	0.6
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5
Toluene	ND	0.7
Xylenes (total)	ND	0.9

ND-Not Detected
NA-Not Applicable
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *AB*

GROUP LEADER:

AB 3/12/93

ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 BANCROFT WAY
BERKELEY, CA 94710

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
ANALYTICAL REPORT
BTX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

MATRIX: SOIL

DATE: 03/02/93
=====

LABORATORY NO.

CLIENT ID

a-a-a-TriFluoro
Toluene
=====

MWUG3930302

METHOD BLANK

103

4840-3

J1VW1-4.5'-5.0'

95

4840-4

J1VW1-5'-5.5'

102

4840-5

J2VW4.5'-5.0'

92

4840-6

J2VW5.0'-5.5'

92

4840-7

J3VW4.0'-4.5'

76

4840-8

J3VW4.5'-5.0'

74

ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 BANCROFT WAY
BERKELEY, CA 94710

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT
ANALYTICAL REPORT
BTX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

MATRIX: SOIL

DATE: 03/04/93

LABORATORY NO.

CLIENT ID

a-a-a-TriFluoro
Toluene

MSUG3930304	METHOD BLANK	108
SSUG3930304A	SPIKE	100
SSUG3930304B	SPIKE DUP	99
4840-1	JBG4.5'-5.0'	104
4840-2	JBG5.0'-5.5'	98

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS SUMMARY
ANALYTICAL REPORT
BTEX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

Work Order No.: 4840

QC sample No.: SSUG3930304A&B

Date analyzed: 03/04/93

Matrix: SOIL

Dilution factor: 1

COMPOUND	SA	SR	MS	MSD	MSD	PR	RPD	QC LIMITS	
8020 analysis	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L			RPD	PR

Benzene	20	ND	17.1	86	16.4	82	4	29	39-150
Toluene	20	ND	17.3	87	16.5	83	5	28	46-148

MS = Spike sample

MSD = Spike sample duplicate

SR = Sample result

SA = Spike added

ND = Not Found At or Above Detection Limits

NC = Not calculated

NA = Not Applicable

** = Out of limits

$$RPD = 100 \times (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2)$$

$$PR = 100 \times ((MS \text{ or } MSD) - SR) / SA$$
ANALYST: *MS*QC: *NWB*

METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

WD # 4840

LAB NAME : ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.

DATE ANALYZED : 03/02/93

LAB SAMPLE ID: MWUG3930302

DATE EXTRACTED : NA

MATRIX : SOIL

INSTRUMENT ID: VGC-3

LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	DATE ANALYZED
MWUG3930302	METHOD BLANK	03/02/93
4840-3	J10W1-4.5'-5.0'	03/02/93
4840-4	J10W1-5'-5.5'	03/02/93
4840-5	J20W4.5'-5.0'	03/02/93
4840-6	J20W5.0'-5.5'	03/02/93
4840-7	J30W4.0'-4.5'	03/02/93
4840-8	J30W4.5'-5.0'	03/02/93

METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

WO # 4840

LAB NAME : ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC. DATE ANALYZED : 03/04/93
 LAB SAMPLE ID:MSUG3930304 DATE EXTRACTED : NA
 MATRIX :SOIL INSTRUMENT ID:VGC-3

LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	DATE ANALYZED
MSUG3930304	METHOD BLANK	03/04/93
SSUG3930403A	SPIKE	03/04/93
SSUG3930403B	SPIKE DUP	03/04/93
4840-1	JBG4.5'-5.0'	03/04/93
4840-2	JBG5.0'-5.5'	03/04/93

**TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
DATA PACKAGE**

ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710

ORGANIC ANALYTICAL REPORT

Work Order NO.: 4840

Matrix: Soil

Parameter: TPH

Unit: mg/Kg

Analytical

Method: 418.1

Date Extracted: 03/08/93

QC Batch NO.: S93QCB013TPH

Date Analyzed: 03/11/93

Sample ID:	Client ID:	Result	Reporting Limit	Percent Moisture
4840-01	JBG4.5"-5.0"	70	12	15.5
4840-02	JBG5.0"-5.5"	16	11	13.1
4840-03	J1VW1-4.5"-5.0"	10000	11	10.7
4840-04	J1VW1-5"-5.5"	5300	11	11.3
4840-05	J2VW4.5"-5.0"	9300	10	11.0
4840-06	J2VW5.0"-5.5"	16800	11	10.3
4840-07	J3VW4.0"-4.5"	230	11	12.4
4840-08	J3VW4.5"-5.0"	300	11	12.5
MSTPH930308	METHOD BLANK	ND	10	NA


NA_ Not Analyzed

ND_ Not Detected

ANALYST:

GROUP LEADER:





ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley. CA 94710

ORGANIC QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS SUMMARY
Blank Spike/Spike Duplicate

Work Order NO.: 4840

QC Sample NO.: SSTPH930226 A&B

Analytical Method: 418.1

Blank I.D.: MSTPH930308

Matrix: Soil

QC Batch NO.: S93QCB013TPH

Unit: mg/Kg

Parameter	Date Analyzed	BR	SA	BS	PR	BSD	PR	RPD
TPH	03/01/93	0	165	136	82	140	85	3

BS-Blank Spike
BSD-Blank Spike Duplicate
SA-Spike Added
BR_Blank Result
NA-Not Applicable
NC-Not Calculated
ND-Not Detected

$$RPD = ((BS - BSD) / ((BS + BSD) / 2)) * 100$$

$$PR = ((BS \text{ OR } BSD - BR) / SA) * 100$$

ANALYST:

QUALITY CONTROL:





METALS DATA PACKAGE

CASE NARRATIVE
WORK ORDER NO. 4840
METALS

Client ID's were abridged by the laboratory to facilitate computer entry of analytical data. The following should be used as a reference:

<u>CLIENT ID</u>	<u>ABRIDGED ID</u>
J1VW1-4.5'-5.0'	J1V5.0
J1VW1-5'-5.5'	J1V5.5
J2VW4.5'-5.0'	J2V5.0
J2VW5.0'-5.5'	J2V5.5
J3VW4.0'-4.5'	J3V4.5
J3VW4.5'-5.0'	J3V5.0
JBG5.5'-6.0'	JBG6.0
JBG6'-6.5'	JBG6.5

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

J1V5.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

J1V5.5

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

J2V5.0

% Solids:	89.0
-----------	------

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

J2V5.5

Lab Name: E_S__BERKELEY LABORATORY__ Contract: AFCEE

Lab Code: ESBL_____ Case No.: 4821S SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: JBG6.0

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL_ Lab Sample ID: 4840.06

Level (low/med): LOW__ Date Sampled : 02/21/93

% Solids: 89.7

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

J3V4.5

Engineering Science - Berkeley Laboratory
Inorganics Report

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

J3V5.0

Lab Name: E S BERKELEY LABORATORY Contract: AFCEE

Lab Code: ESBL Case No.: 48215 SAS No.: SDG No.: JBG6.0

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL_ Lab Sample ID: 4840.08

Level (low/med): LOW__ Date Sampled : 02/23/93

% Solids:	87.5
-----------	------

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

JBG6.0

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

JBG6.5

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

PBLANK

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (BLANK SPIKE)

Contract: AFCEE_____

SDG No. : JBG6.0

Solid LCS Source, ESBL-LCSS

aqueous LCS Source: _____

FORM VII - IN

ICP SERIAL DILUTION

VEW1-6L

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL_ Level (low/med): LOW_

[illegible]

Method Detection Limits (Annually)

urnace AA ID Number : _____ (ug/L in 1.00g to 100ml digestate)

[illegible]

Comments:

ANALYSIS RUN LOG

Contract: AFCEE

SAS No. : _____ SDG No. : JBG6.0

Method: P

End Date: 03/12/93

FORM XIV - IN

ILMO2.1

INORGANICS DATA PACKAGE

INORGANICS ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ES-Denver
Project: AFCEEWork Order: 4840
Matrix: SolidClient's ID: J1VW1 J1VW1 J2VW
-4.5'-5.0' -5'-5.5' -4.5'-5.0'Sample Date: 02/21/93 02/21/93 02/21/93
% Moisture:
Lab ID: 4840.03 4840.04 4840.05

Parameter	-----Results-----	Method	Normal Report Limit	Units	Date Analyzed
Alkalinity	670. 410. 390.	SM 403(M)	50	mg/Kg CaCO3	03/12/93
Moisture	10.7 11.3 11.0	ASTM D2216	.1	% by wt	03/02/93
pH	8.9 8.9 9.0	EPA 9045	NA	pH Units	03/12/93

Note: Samples for alkalinity analysis were extracted using 10mL water for each 1g sample. These water extracts were analyzed for alkalinity, and the results were calculated in the solid on a dry-weight basis.

NA- Not Applicable
ND- Not DetectedANALYST: Don MeatorGROUP LEADER: William J. Lee

INORGANIC QC SUMMARY - MS and MSD

Work Order: 4840

% Moisture: NA

Alkalinity Moisture pH
 Lab ID Spk/Dup: Blank Spk 4838.01 4840.03
 QC Batch: 452.52 489.40 452.62

Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/Kg CaCO₃ (Alk)
 % by wt. (Mois)
 pH Units (pH)

Parameter	Date Analyzed MS/Dup	-----Results-----			RPD	RPD QC Limit	-Conc Added-		Percent Recovered	
		Unspiked Sample	MS/Sample	MSD/Dup			MS	MSD	MS	MSD
Alkalinity	03/12/93	0.00	23500.00	23500.00	0	20	23650.00	23650.00	99	99
Moisture	03/02/93		26.38	28.34	7	20				
pH	03/12/93		8.87	8.88	0	20				

* or N = Outside QC Limit:

QC Limits for % Rec: 75 - 125

 ANALYST: Don Gleason
 File: M1QCHSWH
Date 03/16/93 REVIEWER: MMBDate 3/16/93

INORGANICS ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ES-Denver
Project: AFCEEWork Order: 4840
Matrix: SolidClient's ID: J2VW J3VW J3VW
-5.0'-5.5' -4.0'-4.5' -4.5'-5.0'Sample Date: 02/21/93 02/23/93 02/23/93
% Moisture:
Lab ID: 4840.06 4840.07 4840.08

Parameter	-----Results-----	Method	Normal Report Limit	Units	Date Analyzed
Alkalinity	420. 340. 370.	SM 403(M)	50	mg/Kg CaCO3	03/12/93
Moisture	10.3 12.4 12.5	ASTM D2216	.1	% by wt	03/02/93
pH	8.9 8.9 9.1	EPA 9045	NA	pH Units	03/12/93

Note: Samples for alkalinity analysis were extracted using 10mL water for each 1g sample. These water extracts were analyzed for alkalinity, and the results were calculated in the solid on a dry-weight basis.

NA- Not Applicable
ND- Not DetectedANALYST: Don SkatorGROUP LEADER: Wendy J. Long

INORGANICS ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ES-Denver
Project: AFCEEWork Order: 4840
Matrix: SolidClient's ID: JBG JBG
-5.5'-6.0' -6'-6.5'

Sample Date: 02/21/93 02/21/93

% Moisture:

Lab ID: 4840.09 4840.10

Parameter	-----Results-----	Method	Normal Report Limit	Units	Date Analyzed
Alkalinity	360. 370.	SM 403(M)	50	mg/Kg CaCO3	03/12/93
Moisture	17.5 13.9	ASTM D2216	.1	% by wt	03/02/93
pH	8.9 9.0	EPA 9045	NA	pH Units	03/12/93

Note: Samples for alkalinity analysis were extracted using 10mL water for each 1g sample. These water extracts were analyzed for alkalinity, and the results were calculated in the solid on a dry-weight basis.

NA- Not Applicable

ND- Not Detected

ANALYST: Don GleasonGROUP LEADER: William S. Saly

INORGANICS ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ES-Denver
Project: AFCEEWork Order: 4840
Matrix: SolidClient's ID: Prep
 Blank

Sample Date:

% Moisture:

Lab ID: Prep Blank

Parameter	-----Results-----	Method	Normal Report Limit	Units	Date Analyzed
Alkalinity	ND	SM 403(M)	50	mg/Kg CaCO3	03/12/93
Moisture	NA	ASTM D2216	.1	% by wt	03/02/93
pH	NA	EPA 9045	NA	pH Units	03/12/93

Note: Samples for alkalinity analysis were extracted using 10mL water for each 1g sample. These water extracts were analyzed for alkalinity, and the results were calculated in the solid on a dry-weight basis.

NA- Not Applicable

ND- Not Detected

ANALYST: Don GleasonGROUP LEADER: William J. Gray

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN
SOIL CLASSIFICATION
DATA PACKAGE



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil
Analysis for: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
First Sample #: 3C02601

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 11, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

LABORATORY ANALYSIS FOR: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen

Sample Number	Sample Description	Detection Limit mg/kg	Sample Result mg/kg
3C02601	4840-3C (J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0')	20	440
3C02602	4840-4C (J1-VW1-5'-5.5')	20	440
3C02603	4840-5C (J2-VW-4.5'-5.0')	20	200
3C02604	4840-6C (J2-VW-5.0'-5.5')	20	170
3C02605	4840-7C (J3-VW-4.0'-4.5')	20	140
3C02606	4840-8C (J3-VW-4.5'-5.0')	20	140
3C02607	4840-9B (JB6-5.5'-6.0')	20	140
3C02608	4840-10B (J-BG-6'-6.5')	20	260
	Method Blank	20	N.D.

**THIS REPORT HAS BEEN
APPROVED AND REVIEWED BY**

Paulson 3/17/93
ESBL PROJECT MANAGER / DATE

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager

Please Note:
Sample results reported on dry weight basis.



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil
Analysis for: Phosphorus
First Sample #: 3C02601

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 11, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

LABORATORY ANALYSIS FOR: Phosphorus

Sample Number	Sample Description	Detection Limit mg/kg	Sample Result mg/kg
3C02601	4840-3C (J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0')	1.0	330
3C02602	4840-4C (J1-VW1-5'-5.5')	1.0	410
3C02603	4840-5C (J2-VW-4.5'-5.0')	1.0	510
3C02604	4840-6C (J2-VW-5.0'-5.5')	1.0	530
3C02605	4840-7C (J3-VW-4.0'-4.5')	1.0	320
3C02606	4840-8C (J3-VW-4.5'-5.0')	1.0	360
3C02607	4840-9B (JB6-5.5'-6.0')	1.0	270
3C02608	4840-10B (J-BG-6'-6.5')	1.0	310
	Method Blank	1.0	N.D.

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager

Please Note:
Sample results reported on dry weight basis.

3C02601.ENG <2>



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil
Analysis for: Total Solids
First Sample #: 3C02601

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 3, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

LABORATORY ANALYSIS FOR: Total Solids

Sample Number	Sample Description	Detection Limit %	Sample Result %
3C02601	4840-3C (J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0')	1.0	90
3C02602	4840-4C (J1-VW1-5'-5.5')	1.0	90
3C02603	4840-5C (J2-VW-4.5'-5.0')	1.0	90
3C02604	4840-6C (J2-VW-5.0'-5.5')	1.0	90
3C02605	4840-7C (J3-VW-4.0'-4.5')	1.0	88
3C02606	4840-8C (J3-VW-4.5'-5.0')	1.0	88
3C02607	4840-9B (JB6-5.5'-6.0')	1.0	86
3C02608	4840-10B (J-BG-6'-6.5')	1.0	86

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager

3C02601.ENG <3>



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Matrix: Soil
QC Sample Group: 3C02601-08

Reported: Mar 15, 1993

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Phosphorus
Method:	EPA 351.4	EPA 365.3
Analyst:	N. Northey	K. Follett
Concentration Spiked:	100	100
LCS Batch#:	N.A.	N.A.
Date Prepared:	3/10/93	3/11/93
Date Analyzed	3/10/93	3/11/93
Instrument I.D.#:	N.A.	N.A.
LCS % Recovery:	96	80
Control Limits:	80 - 120%	80 - 120%

MS/MSD Batch #:	9303026-1A	9303026-1A
Date Prepared:	3/10/93	3/11/93
Date Analyzed	3/10/93	3/11/93
Instrument I.D.#:	N.A.	N.A.
Matrix Spike % Recovery:	105	90
Matrix Spike Duplicate % Recovery:	105	100
Relative % Difference:	0.0	2.5

Please Note:

The LCS is a control sample of known, interferent free matrix that is analyzed using the same reagents, preparation and analytical methods employed for the samples. The LCS % recovery data is used for validation of sample batch results. Due to matrix effects, the QC limits for MS/MSD's are advisory only and are not used to accept or reject batch results.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager

3C02601.ENG <4>



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Matrix: Soil

QC Sample Group: 3C02601-08

Reported: Mar 15, 1993

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE	Total Solids
---------	--------------

Method: EPA 160.3
Analyst: Y. Arteaga
Date: 3/3/93

Sample #: 9303026-06A

Sample Concentration: 88

Sample Duplicate Concentration: 87

% RPD: 1.1

Control Limits: 80 - 120%

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02601

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 8, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

323.98g
180.34g
55.66

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1½ in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	60.53	18.68	18.68	81.32
No. 4	59.79	18.45	37.13	62.87
No. 10	60.02	18.53	55.66	44.34
No. 200	101.38	31.29	86.95	13.05
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	22	30	26	12.0	0.032	12.81
5	22	27	23	12.5	0.021	11.34
10	22	25	21	12.9	0.015	10.35
15	22	23	19	13.2	0.012	9.36
25	22	22	18	13.3	0.0097	8.87
40	22	20	16	13.7	0.0078	7.89
60	22	19	15	13.8	0.0064	7.39
90	22	19	15	13.8	0.0052	7.39
120	22	18	14	14.0	0.0045	6.90
1440	22	12	8.0	15.0	0.0014	3.94

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
0.982
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01332

FORMULAS:

$R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J1-VW1-5'-5.5'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02602

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 8, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

402.07g
287.07g
28.60

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1½ in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	94.37	23.47	23.47	76.53
No. 4	109.84	27.32	50.79	49.21
No. 10	82.86	20.61	71.4	28.60
No. 200	85.88	21.36	92.76	7.24
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	22	27	23	12.50	0.033	5.73
5	22	25	21	12.9	0.021	5.23
10	22	22	18	13.3	0.015	4.49
15	22	21	17	13.5	0.013	4.24
25	22	20	16	13.7	0.0099	3.99
40	22	18	14	14.0	0.0079	3.49
60	22	17	13	14.2	0.0065	3.24
90	22	16	12	14.3	0.0053	2.99
120	22	15	11	14.5	0.0046	2.74
1440	22	11	7.0	15.2	0.0014	1.74

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
0.998
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01332

FORMULAS:
 $R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J2-VW-4.5'-5.0'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02603

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 8, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

327.53g
195.16g
40.41

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1½ in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	85.28	26.04	26.04	73.96
No. 4	60.98	18.62	44.66	55.34
No. 10	48.90	14.93	59.59	40.41
No. 200	94.90	28.98	88.57	11.43
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	22	28	24	12.4	0.033	8.57
5	22	26	22	12.7	0.021	7.86
10	22	24	20	13.0	0.015	7.14
15	22	23	19	13.2	1.012	6.78
25	22	22	18	13.3	0.0097	6.43
40	22	21	17	13.5	0.0077	6.07
60	22	20	16	13.7	0.0064	5.71
90	22	19	15	13.8	0.0052	5.36
120	22	19	15	13.8	0.0045	5.36
1440	22	16	12	14.3	0.0013	4.29

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
0.984
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01332

FORMULAS:

$R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson

Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J2-VW-5.0'-5.5'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02604

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 9, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

268.59g
138.29g
48.51

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1 1/2 in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	51.52	19.18	19.18	80.82
No. 4	51.69	19.24	39.42	60.58
No. 10	35.08	13.06	52.48	47.52
No. 200	92.03	34.26	86.74	13.26
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	21	30	26	12.0	0.033	11.11
5	21	28	24	12.4	0.021	10.26
10	21	25	21	12.9	0.015	8.98
15	21	24	20	13.0	0.013	8.55
25	21	22	18	13.3	0.0098	7.69
40	21	21	17	13.5	0.0078	7.27
60	21	20	16	13.7	0.0064	6.83
90	21	19	15	13.8	0.0053	6.41
120	21	19	15	13.8	0.0046	6.41
1440	21	17	13	14.2	0.0013	5.56

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
0.987
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01348

FORMULAS:

$R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson

Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J3-VW-4.0'-4.5'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02605

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 9, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

410.93g
214.68g
47.76

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1½ in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	41.69	10.15	10.15	89.85
No. 4	79.01	19.23	29.38	70.62
No. 10	93.98	22.87	52.25	47.75
No. 200	147.67	35.93	88.18	11.82
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	21	30	26	12.0	0.033	10.83
5	21	27	23	12.5	0.021	9.58
10	21	26	22	12.7	0.015	9.16
15	21	25	21	12.9	0.013	8.75
25	21	24	20	13	0.0097	8.33
40	21	23	19	13.2	0.0077	7.91
60	21	22	18	13.3	0.0063	7.5
90	21	22	18	13.3	0.0052	7.5
120	21	20	16	13.7	0.0046	6.66
1440	21	18	14	14.0	0.0013	5.83

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
0.997
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01348

FORMULAS:
 $R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J3-VW-4.5'-5.0'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02606

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 9, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

315.37g
144.22g
54.27

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1½ in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	25.54	8.09	8.09	91.91
No. 4	53.63	17.01	25.1	74.9
No. 10	65.05	20.63	45.73	54.27
No. 200	124	39.32	85.05	14.95
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	21	32	28	11.7	0.033	12.75
5	21	30	26	12.0	0.021	11.38
10	21	27	23	12.5	0.015	10.07
15	21	27	23	12.5	0.012	10.07
25	21	26	22	12.7	0.0096	9.63
40	21	25	21	12.9	0.0077	9.19
60	21	24	20	13	0.0063	8.75
90	21	23	19	13.2	0.0052	8.32
120	21	23	19	13.2	0.0045	8.32
1440	21	19	15	13.8	0.0013	6.56

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
0.996
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01348

FORMULAS:
 $R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Jennifer A. Nelson
Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager



SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.
600 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94710
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. 4840
Sample Descript: Soil, J-BG-5.5'-6.0', JBG-6'-6.5'
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63
Lab Number: 3C02607, 08 composite

Sampled: Feb 21, 1993
Received: Mar 1, 1993
Analyzed: Mar 11, 1993
Reported: Mar 15, 1993

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

244.29g
116.45g
52.33

SIEVE TEST FOR
WEIGHT RETAINED
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

SIEVE SIZE	WEIGHT RETAINED, g	% RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % RETAINED	CUMULATIVE % PASSING
1½ in.	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
3/8 in.	38.32	15.69	15.69	84.31
No. 4	35.91	14.7	30.39	69.61
No. 10	42.22	17.28	47.67	52.33
No. 200	90.62	37.10	84.77	15.23
PAN				
TOTAL				

HYDROMETER TEST

ELAPSED TIME (T)	TEMP. °C	HYDROMETER READING (H)	CORRECTED READING (R)	(L)	PARTICLE DIAM. (S)	% SUSPENDED (P)
2	21	30	26	12.0	0.033	11.83
5	21	24	20	13.0	0.022	9.1
10	21	22	18	13.3	0.016	8.2
15	21	21	17	13.5	0.013	7.74
25	21	20	16	13.7	0.0099	7.28
40	21	18	14	14.0	0.008	6.37
60	21	18	14	14.0	0.0065	6.37
90	21	17	13	14.2	0.0054	5.92
120	21	16	12	14.3	0.0047	5.46
1440	21	13	9	14.8	0.0014	4.10

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

115g
1.00
2.65
3.0
1.0
0.01348

FORMULAS:

$R = H - E - F$
 $S = K [\text{SQRT} (L / T)]$
 $P = (R / W) 100$
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL


Jennifer A. Nelson

Jennifer A. Nelson
Project Manager

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: Engineering Science

LABORATORY NUMBER: 9303026-1A

U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES




U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES

SILT

CLAY

54

22




LABORATORY NUMBER: 9303026-3A

U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES

CLAY

58




4/b	
SILT	8%
CLAY	6%


SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: Engineering Science

LABORATORY NUMBER: 9303026-4A

U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES



U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES




GRAIN DIAMETER IN MILLIMETERS

COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			FINES	
	COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE		SILT SIZES	CLAY SIZES

SILT
CLAY


SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: Engineering Science
LABORATORY NUMBER: 9303026-6A

U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES



GRAIN DIAMETER IN MILLIMETERS			SILT SIZES		CLAY SIZES
COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE	
SAND			SILT SIZES		
GRAVEL			CLAY SIZES		
COBBLES					

SAND	44%
SILT	14%
CLAY	5%




ENGINEERING-SCIENCE

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD FOR WATER SAMPLES

ES JOB NO.		PROJECT NAME/LOCATION		PRESERVATIVES REQUIRED										ANALYSES REQUIRED										REMARKS
ELD CONTACT: P. Claiborne		W.O. 4840																						SEQUOIA LAB
SAMPLER NAMES & SIGNATURES																								
DATE	TIME	FIELD SAMPLE IDENTIFIER																						
6/3	1100	J1-VW1-4.5'-5.0'												9303026-01										16-02 - Jan
	↓	J1-VW1-5'-5.5'																						Report To:
	1400	J2-VW - 4.5'-5.0'																						Tom Paulson ESBL
	↓	J2-VW - 5.0'-5.5'																						Report Blank Rec'd
	1050	J3-VW - 4.0'-4.5'																						of Calibration
	↓	J3-VW - 4.5'-5.0'																						Dry Wt. Basis
	0830	J-BG-5.5'-6.0'																						USE MD2 for Reporting
	↓	J-BG-6'-6.5'																						LIMITS.
																								Composite

ELD CUSTODY RELINQUISHED BY: L. Claiborne		DATE: 2/26/93		TIME: 1120	
CIPPED VIA:		ON RECEIPT: CUSTODY BEALS?		TEMP: °C	
RECEIVED FOR LABORATORY BY: [Signature]		DATE: 3/1/93		TIME: 1150	



AN ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL LABORATORY

BATTLEFIELD
505 KING AVE.
COLUMBUS, OH 43201

1325 SUNTISE GOLD CIRCLE, SUITE 'E'
RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742
(916) 688-9892 • FAX (916) 638-9917

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT # Johnston Hill PO # 506 No 248.01 COLLECTED BY (Signature) J. Kiffel / G. Hendy
REMARKS Send Data to Jeff Kiffel at BATTLEFIELD (614) 424-6122
FAX (614) 424-3667

FIELD SAMPLE I.D.#	SAMPLING MEDIA (Tenax, Canister etc.)	DATE/TIME	ANALYSIS	VAC. PRESSURE	LAB ID.#
J-86-4.5'-5.0'	Soil - Split Spool	21 FEB 93 / 0820	BTEX / TPH		
J-86-5.0'-5.5'	Soil - Split Spool	21 FEB 93 / 0820	BTEX / TPH		
J1-VW-4.5'-5.0'	Soil - Split Spool / 2-500cc	21 FEB 93 / 1100	BTEX / TPH		
J1-VW-5'-5.5'	Soil SS / (2) 500cc glass	21 FEB 93 / 1100	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH moist
J2-VW-4.5'-5.0'	Soil SS / (2) 500cc glass	21 FEB 93 / 1400	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH
J2-VW-5.0'-5.5'	Soil SS / (2) 500cc glass	21 FEB 93 / 1400	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH
J3-VW-4.0'-4.5'	Soil SS / (2) 500cc glass	23 FEB 93 / 1050	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH
J3-VW-4.5'-5.0'	Soil SS / (2) 500cc glass	23 FEB 93 / 1050	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH
J-86-5.5'-6.0'	Soil Split Spool	21 FEB 93 / 0830	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH
J-86-6'-6.5'	Soil Split Spool	21 FEB 93 / 0830	Alkalinity / BTEX / TPH	Alkalinity, TP, TKN, Fe, Sieve	PH

RELINQUISHED BY: DATE/TIME Ray Hendy from 24 FEB 93 RECEIVED BY: DATE/TIME 21 FEB 93
21 FEB 93 RELINQUISHED BY: DATE/TIME 21 FEB 93 RECEIVED BY: DATE/TIME 02/26/93

LAB USE ONLY

SHIPPER NAME	AIR BILL #	OPENED BY: DATE/TIME	TEMP (°C)	CONDITION
DHL		R. Carboni 2/26/93	5.0	Good
REMARKS				

APPENDIX C
OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA

**TABLE C-1. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING
POINT J1-MPA**

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth		
	2.5'	4.5'	6.0'
0	0	0	0
1	0.13	0.11	0
2.5	0.125	0.125	0
4	0.125	0.125	0
6	0.125	0.125	0
7.5	0.125	0.125	0
15	0.125	0.125	0
30	0.125	0.12	0
50	0.13	0.13	0

TABLE C-2. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J1-MPB

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth		
	2.5'	4.5'	6.0'
0	0	0	0
1	0.07	0.135	0.135
3	0.07	0.125	0.125
4.5	0.07	0.12	0.12
7.5	0.07	0.13	0.1
10	0.065	0.13	0.09
16	0.065	0.125	0.095
30	0.07	0.13	0.098
50	0.075	0.125	0.095

APPENDIX D

OLD FIRE TRAINING AREA IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA




Figure D-1. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J1-MPA-2.5'




Figure D-2. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J1-MPA-4.5'




Figure D-3. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J1-MPB-4.5'




Figure D-4. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J1-MPT-4.5'

APPENDIX E

FORMER POL TANK FARM SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA

TABLE E-1. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J2-MPA

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth	
	2.5'	4.5'
0	0	0
1	0.56	0.55
3	0.56	0.56
5	0.57	0.57
10	0.57	0.57
20	0.56	0.56
50	0.56	0.56
150	0.55	0.55

TABLE E-2. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J2-MPB¹

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth
	4.5'
0	0
1	0.48
3	0.47
5	0.47
10	0.48
20	0.47
50	0.47
150	0.45

¹ Monitoring point J2-MPB-2.5' was plugged.

TABLE E-3. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J2-MPC

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth	
	2.5'	4.5'
0	0	0
2	0.09	0.08
4	0.09	0.09
6	0.09	0.085
11	0.09	0.085
20	0.-85	0.085
50	0.085	0.08
150	0.08	0.08

APPENDIX F

FORMER POL TANK FARM IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA




Figure F-1. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J2-MPA-2.5'




Figure F-2. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J2-MPA-4.5'




Figure F-3. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J2-MPB-4.5'

APPENDIX G

STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA

TABLE G-1. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J3-MPA¹

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth
	2.5'
0	0
2	0.6
4	0.6
6	0.6
8	0.6
10	0.6
12	0.6
15	0.6
20	0.6
30	0.6
60	0.55

¹ Monitoring Point J3-MPA-4.5' was plugged.

TABLE G-2. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J3-MPB

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth	
	2.5'	4.5'
0	0	0
2	0.240	0.255
4	0.245	0.255
6	0.230	0.255
8	0.230	0.255
10	0.230	0.250
12	0.225	0.255
15	0.19	0.235
20	0.195	0.255
30	0.21	0.250

TABLE G-3. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT J3-MPC

Time (Minutes)	Pressure ("H ₂ O) by Depth	
	2.5'	4.5'
0	0	0
2	0	0
4	0	0
6	0.05	0.05
8	0.1	0.1
10	0.1	0.1
12	0.1	0.1
15	0.1	0.1
20	0.1	0.09
30	0.09	0.09
60	0.09	0.09

APPENDIX H

STORAGE TANKS 260 AND 261 SITE IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA




Figure H-1. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J3-MPA-2.5'




Figure H-2. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J3-MPB-4.5'




Figure H-3. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J3-MPC-2.5'




Figure H-4. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production During the In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point J3-MPC-4.5'